

GOMBAULT'S CAUSTIC BALSAM

A safe, speedy and positive cure for

Curb, Splint, Sweeny, Capped Hock, Strained Tendons, Founder, Wind Puffs, and all lameness from Spavin, Ringbone and other bony tumors. Cures all skin diseases or Parasites, Thrush, Diphtheria. Removes all Bunches from Horses or

of Caustie Balsam sold is Sold by druggists, es paid, with full dir-for descriptive

THE LAWRENCE-WILLIAMS COMPANY, Cleveland, Ohi

armers Should Not Go to Law Over Trivial Causes.

any one else, etc., etc.

ters, and, indeed, over matters of any homeless. kind, large or small, as long as it can utmost good faith, and apparently without the least shadow of a chance to fail of success. And, again, the the parties have come to grief because they went to law over some trivial matter to gratify a grudge, or to or to spite an adversary. In this latter kind of cases, the guilty party, if he meets defeat, is entitled to no the loosing party had it all to pay. sympathy, but, in the majority of such cases, the innocent are involved and suffer along with the guilty, and our sympathies are always aroused when we see the innocent suffer for the misdeeds of the wicked.

I have in mind some instances that show the follw of going to law over small matters, and will recite a few of them, leaving off the names where they do not appear in the reported cases:

Just before the war between the States, there lived in Hancock County, in this State, a man by the name of Wolfenbargo, and another by the constable in his district, and there replevy to recover the calf, and the any bearing either way, and, as a re-

a judgment in that court, and an ap- compromise was made in which the though a bull, was a steer in the sense of the law, and was not subject to seizure on the execution, under the exemption laws, and decided the case against Wolfenbargo, and cost and his lawyer fees took his little farm, and he was left homeless with several small children. (This case is reported).

Another case from the same neighmen, Mr. R. and Mr. H., lived on adjoining farms. The daughter of Mr. R., over the protest of her father, married the son of Mr. H., but after some years Mr. R. apparently became reconciled to his daughter's marriage, and either gave or loaned her a cow of The daughter and her husband, preparatory to going East, sold the cow to a neighbor, a Mr. G., and, after the daughter was gone, her father and Mr. G. got into a litigation over the cow. The suit originated before a justice of the peace, and was appealed to the circuit court, and from the circuit court to the supreme court. The An article from the Southern Agri- supreme court reversed it and sent it culturist contains some good advice, back for a new trial, and after anothwith several examples given as warn- er trial in the court below, it was takings. We should extend the scope en to the supreme court again, and, of the title by saying. Farmers nor after worrying with it for several years, it was finally decided against After thirty years experience in the Mr. R. The costs and attorney's fees courts as a lawyer, I desire to issue a in the cause are said to have been warning to the farmers of the country about \$1,300, and, as a result, Mr. R. against going to law over small mat- lost his farm and his family was left

There is another case growing out be avoided. Law suits, at the best, of a dispute over a mule worth about are uncertain, and the records of the forty dollars that I will mention: Mr. courts abound in instances where P. and Mr. M. got into a dispute soon financial wreck and ruin to families after the war, over the title to this in easy circumstances have followed mule, and got into litigation. This the institution of suits, begun in the case also originated before a justice of the peace, and was taken to the circuit court, and from the circuit court to the supreme court. The surecord abounds with instances where preme court remanded the cause, and there was then a change of venue to another county. The cost, because of the large number of witnesses, the disrevenge a real or imaginary insult, tance they had to travel, and the length of time the case was pending, amounted, it is said, to \$1,200, and

There cases all grew out of disputes over personal property, but disputes over real estate, with similar results, are not infrequent. A few years since, in the State of Virginia, two wealthy adjoining land owners got into a dispute over a parcel of land containing not more than one-eighth of an acre, and worth, perhaps, ten dollars. Formerly the dividing line between the two farms was a small branch that had no definite channel. At times the branch flowed at one place and at other times, because of freshets changing its bed, it flowed at other places, and to settle and fix an unchanging name of Stanford. Wolfenbargo was line, for former adjoining owners a man of some means, and was the agreed upon a line and built a fence on it. After the death of the former was placed in his hands an execution owners, their successors got into a disissued by a justice of the peace, pute over the line, and, both being for a few dollars, against Stanford, wealthy and stubborn, it seemed to and he levied this execution on a be a fight to the finish. The best small calf, of the value of, perhaps, council was employed on both sides, two dollars as the property of Stan- and the country was raked for every ford. Stanford brought an action of particle of evidence that could have case was appealed from the justice of sult, the witnesses were exceedingly the peace to the circuit court, and numerous, but, after three mistrials, after two or three mistrials, there was through the influence of friends, a

peal was taken to the supreme court. costs were divided, and the cause dis-The supreme court held that the calf, missed. The costs and attorney's fees on each side amounted, in round numbers, to \$1,000.

There is still another case that I will mention. This case grew out of a dispute over about two acres of land, judged the cost against him, and this lying right on top of a mountain, and until they exhausted themselves, and being a part of a crop grown on a quarmade enemies for life, and the court the land in dispute. The costs of this With the exception of shipment noted borhood is worthy of mention. Two case have not yet been taxed, and I cannot say what they are, but it is safe to say that they are ten times the value of the land in controversy.

It is always safer and the better plan to exhaust all other means bethe value of about twelve dollars. the Scripture say: "Agree with thine adversary quickly."

Among the successful farmers of this section are the Phillips Brothers, who have a place on Lake Hollingsworth. These two gentlemen came here from Arkansas about two years ago, and although wholly unfamiliar with the conditions in this new country, have demonstrated what industry and intelligent methods can accomplish. A few days worth not over \$10. The parties lawed ago they shipped 102 crates of celery, ter of an acre. From this little area they finally decided that neither had title to are marketing a total of 280 crates. they have sold the crop at \$2 per crate at the platform here. The 102 crates will net them a better price than this, and thier clear returns from this one fourth acre will therefore be approximately \$600. The writer has sampled fore resorting to the courts to settle this celery, and it is as good as can be difficulties, and, in settling difficulties, produced anywhere. The Messrs. Phillips are also successfully growing a variety of other products.-Lakeland News.



